

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
PUBLISHERS BUSINESS SERVICES,)
INC., a corporation; ED DANTUMA)
ENTERPRISES, INC., a corporation,)
also dba PUBLISHERS DIRECT)
SERVICES and PUBLISHERS)
BUSINESS SERVICES; PERSIS)
DANTUMA; EDWARD DANTUMA;)
BRENDA DANTUMA CHANG;)
DRIES DANTUMA; DIRK)
DANTUMA; and JEFFREY)
DANTUMA, individually and as)
officers or managers of publishers)
Business Services, Inc., or Ed Dantuma)
Enterprises, Inc.,)
)
Defendants.)

2:08-CV-00620-PMP-PAL

ORDER

The Court having read and considered Defendants' Motion for Costs Pursuant to Rule 68 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (Doc. #249), Plaintiff's Response in Opposition thereto (Doc. #250), and Defendants' Reply (Doc. #251), and finding that Defendants are not entitled as "prevailing parties," and are not entitled to recover costs under the EAJA, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, and good cause appearing,

///

1 **IT IS ORDERED that** Defendants' Motion for Costs Pursuant to Rule 68
2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (Doc. #249) is **DENIED**.

3
4 DATED: September 7, 2011.

5 

6
7 PHILIP M. PRO
United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26